

Dietary conjugated linoleic acid and long-chain *n*-3 fatty acids in mammary and prostate cancer protection: a review

VERÓNICA M. HEINZE^{1,2} & ADRIANA B. ACTIS³

¹Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Adventista del Plata, 25 de Mayo 99, 3103 Libertador San Martín, Entre Ríos, Argentina, ²Facultad de Ciencias Médicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina, and ³Facultad de Ciencias Médicas y Facultad de Odontología, Conicet-Instituto de Biología Celular, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Haya de la Torre y Enrique Barros, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina

Abstract

The role of dietary fatty acids on cancer is still controversial. To examine the current literature on the protective role of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) and marine long-chain fatty acids [eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)] and the risk of breast and prostate cancer, data from 41 case-control and cohort studies and relevant *in vitro* and animal experiments were included in this 2000–2010 revision. Epidemiological studies on CLA intake or its tissue concentration related to breast and prostate tumorigenesis are not conclusive; EPA and DHA intake have shown important inverse associations just in some studies. Additional research on the analysed association is required.

Keywords: *n*-6 and *n*-3 fatty acids, conjugated linoleic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, docosahexaenoic acid, breast cancer

Introduction

Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) are polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) which have been extensively studied with relation to their anticancer properties. CLA is a heterogeneous group of geometrical and positional isomers of linoleic acid (LA, 18: 2*n*-6) with conjugated double bonds. Dairy products and lean meat from ruminant animals are the major sources of this fatty acid in the human diet (Martins et al. 2007). Long-chain marine *n*-3 PUFAs, EPA (20: 5*n*-3) and DHA (22: 6*n*-3), are found mainly in fatty cold-water fish, seafood and fish oils (Larsson et al. 2004).

Considering their influence on human health, the consumption of fish and seafood usually appears to play a protective role on the risk of several types of cancer (Hu et al. 2008), whereas international nutritional guidelines have lately limited the intake of dairy products such as whole milk, butter and cheese because of their considerable amount of cardiovascular

disease (CVD)-related saturated fatty acids and cholesterol. Similarly, available literature has associated a high intake of red and processed meat with an increased risk of various types of cancer (mainly colorectal cancer) causing a negative perception about the role of red meat in health (Alfaia et al. 2010; McAfee et al. 2010). However, the risk associated with red meat consumption may be related to the high-fat intake and/or to the carcinogens generated through certain cooking and processing methods (Navarro et al. 2004; McAfee et al. 2010).

This review examines the current literature referred to the protective role of CLA, EPA and DHA and their dietary sources with a particular focus on the results obtained from epidemiological studies.

Methodology

The purpose of the present study was to review the current literature regarding the CLA and marine

long-chain fatty acids (EPA and DHA) intake and the consumption of their food sources – meat and dairy products for CLA and fish/seafood products for EPA and DHA – and the risk of breast and prostate cancer. A PubMed search of case-control, cohort and nested case-control studies within cohorts published from 2000 to March 2010 was carried out. Additional published reports were obtained by crossmatching the references of relevant articles.

Thirty-seven studies which satisfied the following conditions were chosen to be included in this review: (1) studies based on a dietary questionnaire, in which odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) concerning CLA, EPA or DHA intakes was calculated; (2) studies based on a dietary questionnaire having calculated OR or RR for meat, dairy products, milk, fish or seafood intake and (3) studies based on CLA, EPA or DHA serum or tissue levels used as intake biomarkers, having calculated OR or RR for those fatty acids. Four cohort studies which calculated the hazard ratio (HR) and incidence rate ratio (IRR) were also included (Stripp et al. 2003; Crowe et al. 2008; Witt et al. 2009; Brasky et al. 2010).

Available *in vitro* assays and experimental studies published in the last 10 years were commented, but with no aim of exhaustivity.

Dietary sources of CLA, EPA and DHA

Conjugated linoleic acid

CLA is produced by LA partial ruminal biohydrogenation and/or by its endogenous synthesis in the tissues themselves, particularly in the mammary glands (Dhiman et al. 2005).

Several CLA isomers have been identified according to the position and geometry of their double bonds (Kelley et al. 2007). The two major CLA forms are *cis-9,trans-11-CLA* – also called rumenic acid (Kramer et al. 1998) – and *trans-10,cis-12-CLA*. Other isomers are *trans-7,trans-9-CLA*, *cis-9,cis-11-CLA*, *trans-9,trans-11-CLA*, *cis-10,cis-12-CLA*, *trans-10,trans-12-CLA*, *trans-11,trans-13-CLA* and *cis-11,cis-13-CLA* (Kelley et al. 2007).

Meat and dairy products from ruminant animals are the major dietary sources of *cis-9,trans-11-CLA* followed by *trans-7,cis-9-CLA* representing 75–90% and 10% of the CLA content, respectively (Palmquist et al. 2005; Kelley et al. 2007). CLA is located in the interstitial non-visible fat of meat being distributed along the muscle fibres and in the subcutaneous depots. This invisible intramuscular fat ranges from 25 to 50 g/kg (2.5–5%) in lean meat. It should be considered that the visible fat is often easily discarded whereas the interstitial fat is always consumed. Thus, the beneficial effects of small amounts of CLA are relatively enhanced in lean meat as compared that those of the fatty meat sub-products because the

latter contain a substantial amount of saturated fatty acids and cholesterol (Eynard and Lopez 2003).

Partially hydrogenated oils such as shortenings and margarines containing mainly *trans-10,cis-12-CLA* are other CLA sources (Kelley et al. 2007). Supplementary CLA forms are mostly found as an equal mix of *cis-9,trans-11* and *trans-10,cis-12* isomers (Whigham et al. 2007).

A large number of studies have demonstrated that CLA content in milk and meat is affected by several factors such as the animal's breed, age, diet and the food supplements affecting the ruminant diet (Realini et al. 2004; Dhiman et al. 2005; De La Torre et al. 2006; Latimori et al. 2008).

It has been shown that an exclusive pasture diet or a forage diet combined with a low grain supplementation causes a higher meat and dairy food CLA concentration and also influences the fatty acid composition without modifying the physical characteristics of ruminant products (Schmid et al. 2006; Latimori et al. 2008; Daley et al. 2010).

CLA is also a stable compound under storage conditions and normal cooking or processing methods of milk and meat (Dhiman et al. 2005; Schmid et al. 2006; Gagliostro et al. 2007a–c; Sarriés et al. 2009). No changes were observed after storing dairy products at low temperatures or following some processing methods. That is the case of low-fat yogurt, regular-fat yogurt, low-fat and regular-fat ice cream, sour cream or cheeses (MacDonald 2000; Gagliostro et al. 2007a–c). However, it has been suggested that aged cheeses have lower amounts of CLA than those with a shorter ripening period (MacDonald 2000).

EPA and DHA

The content of marine EPA and DHA *n-3* fatty acids varies greatly according to the fish species, its total fat content, the geographical location and the water temperature. Thus, the *n-3* fatty acid concentration varies from the Atlantic to the Pacific Ocean. Deep cold-water fishes such as mackerel, tuna and salmon have the highest EPA and DHA content. The fatty acid composition of farming fishes varies according to their diets (Berquin et al. 2008).

Humans are able to convert alpha-linoleic acid from green vegetables and vegetable oils into EPA and DHA. However, the conversion process is very inefficient and depends on a competitive inhibition related to *n-6* synthesis pathways (Williams and Burdge 2006; Berquin et al. 2008).

A number of strategies to increase *n-3* PUFA intake in humans have been developed. For instance, feeding animals with fish extracts or algae (oils) implies a DHA increase of about 2-fold in beef, 7-fold in chicken, 6-fold in eggs and 20-fold in salmon (Bourre 2005). Nevertheless, those strategies have been limited due to the adverse effects on sensory qualities and the higher price of the final products (Howe et al. 2002;

Table I. *In vitro* effects of CLA on breast and prostate tumour cells.

Reference	Cell lines	Possible effect	Exposure	Results
Kemp et al. (2003)	Breast (MCF7) and colon (HCT116) cancer cells containing wild-type p53.	Action on cell cycle progression.	CLA in various concentrations or specific isomers for different periods of time.	Inhibited the cell proliferation (<i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 CLA more effective than <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA). Elicited the cell cycle in G1. Induced the accumulation of p53, p27 and p21 tumour suppressors.
Tanmahasamut et al. (2004)	Breast cell line (MCF-7 ER +)	Anti-oestrogenic	Five purified CLA isomers and a mixture of CLA in a concentration range of 25–200 µM/l (mid-normal to supraphysiologic—pharmacologic levels).	The five isomers showed a dose-dependent inhibition of the ER + breast cancer cells growth. The most potent inhibitors were <i>cis</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -11 and <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 (60% inhibition).
De la Torre et al. (2005)	Two breast cancer cell lines (MCF7 and T47D) and prostatic adenocarcinoma (PC3).	Antiproliferative	CLA isomers <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12, <i>trans</i> -7, <i>cis</i> -9 and <i>cis</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13. Derivatives of <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA (<i>cis</i> -8, <i>cis</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13-20:3) and <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 CLA (<i>cis</i> -6, <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12-18:3 and <i>cis</i> -8, <i>trans</i> -12, <i>cis</i> -14-20:3).	<i>Trans</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11-18:2 and CLA-conjugated derivatives exhibited the strongest growth-inhibitory effect against cancer cells.
Palombo et al. (2002)	Human prostate carcinoma cells (PC3)	Antiproliferative	Two commercial CLA preparations and their constituent isomers, <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11; <i>cis</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -1 and <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12.	Physiologic levels of two CLA preparations, and the <i>cis</i> -11, <i>trans</i> -13-conjugated eicosadienoic acid (a <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA elongation product), induced dose-dependent inhibitory effects on cancer proliferation.
Ochoa et al. (2004)	Human prostate carcinoma cells (PC3)	Antiproliferative enzyme and oncoprotein gene expression	Individual purified <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA and <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 CLA and a 50:50 isomer mixture.	Antiproliferative effects of CLA isomers are related to different pathways. <i>Trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 works preferentially through apoptosis modulation and cell cycle control, whereas <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA isomer affects the AA metabolism.
Song et al. (2006)	Human prostate carcinoma cells (LNCaP)	Apoptosis promotion	50:50 CLA mixture of <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 and <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 isomers or individual <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 or <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 CLA isomers at concentrations of 6, 25 and 50 µM.	Only <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA isomer significantly increased TNF-α-induced apoptosis (by 59%), which correlated with a reduction in NF-κB transcriptional activity (by 35%), NF-κB binding activity (by 15%), and phosphorylation of IκBα (by 36%).
Ip et al. (2000)	Rat mammary adenocarcinoma cells (NMMU)	Apoptosis promotion	Mixture of CLA isomers.	CLA significantly increased the number of apoptotic cells.
Kim et al. (2005)	Breast human tumour cells (MDA-MB-231ER-)	Modulation of 5-lipoxygenase activity	<i>Cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 and <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 CLA.	<i>Trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 CLA reduced 5-HETE production through competition with substrate AA and reduction in FLAP expression.

Notes: CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; AA, arachidonic acid; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor alpha; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; IκBα, inhibitor of kappa B alpha; 5-HETE, 5-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid; FLAP, 5-lipoxygenase-activating protein.

Table II. Experimental CLA, EPA and DHA exposure related to breast and prostate tumours.

Reference	Tumour	Animal model	Dietary treatment	Results
Ip et al. (2001)	Mammary	Rat	Mixture of CLA isomers (<i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA and <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 CLA) or <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA rich butter fat.	Suppressed expression of cyclin D1 and cyclin A in the terminal end buds and alveolar clusters.
Yang et al. (2003)	Mammary	Rat	Diet containing 1% CLA (w/w).	Decreased PhIP-induced mutagenesis (38%).
Lock et al. (2004)	Mammary	Rat	VA-enriched diet.	Increased CLA tissue content. Reduced risk of developing premalignant lesions. Decreased proliferative activity of premalignant cells.
Corl et al. (2003)	Mammary	Rat	CLA- and VA-enriched butter.	Increased CLA accumulation in the mammary fat pad. Decreased tumour formation.
Lavillonniere et al. (2003)	Mammary	Rat	Diets containing 1% <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 isomer or isomer mixture.	Significant decrease (44–45%) in tumour mass.
Hubbard et al. (2003)	Mammary tumour metastasis	Mouse	Diets containing <i>cis</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -11 CLA, <i>trans</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -12 CLA or a mixture.	Decreased lung tumour volume. Decreased metastatic cell survival in both spontaneous metastasis and implantation.
Banni et al. (1999)	Mammary	Rat	Diets containing 0.5, 1, 1.5 or 2% CLA.	0.5 and 1% dietary CLA decreased TEB density and mammary tumour yield.
Ip et al. (1999)	Mammary	Rat	High CLA butter fat and a mixture of CLA isomers at a level of 0.8%.	CLA reduced the mammary epithelial mass (22%), decreased the TEB population size (30%), suppressed the TEB cell proliferation (30%) and inhibited the mammary tumour yield (53%) during the pubescence mammary gland development.
Cohen et al. (2003)	Prostate	Rat	CLA or CLA in combination with isoflavone-rich soy protein isolate.	No <i>in vivo</i> development and growth inhibition of prostate tumour cells.
Robinson et al. (2001)	Mammary	Rat	Long-chain <i>n</i> -3 fatty acids.	Decreased tumour growth (31% lower). Beneficial effects on host immune defences (interferon-gamma and tumour necrosis factor-alpha production).
Manna et al. (2007)	Mammary	Rat	Fish oil	Decreased cell proliferation. Reduced DPCs. Increased expression of p53 protein in preneoplastic mammary tissue.
Yuri et al. (2003)	Mammary	Rat	EPA, a 1:1 mixture of EPA plus DHA, or DHA supplementation.	DHA decreased the tumour incidence and multiplicity in MNU-induced mammary carcinogenesis.

Notes: CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; PhIP, 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-*b*]pyridine (potent mutagen and carcinogen formed at high temperature during meat cooking); TEB, terminal end buds (proliferative compartment within the virgin mouse mammary gland, responsible for the development of the ductal system, and site of significant apoptosis believed to cause canalization of the developing ducts); VA, *trans*-11 18:1, vaccenic acid (fatty acid converted into CLA through endogenous synthesis via 9-desaturase); DPCs, DNA-protein cross links (type of DNA damage used as a biomarker of early carcinogenic exposure or early malignant lesions); MNU, *N*-methyl-*N*-nitrosourea (carcinogen, mutagen and teratogen used to induce cancer in animal models); EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid.

Doughman et al. 2007). In addition, genetic modifications may increase the *n*-3 PUFA content of traditional oils obtained from plants such as canola and soybean. The advent of DHA algal sources provides those fatty acids in a concentrated form through microencapsulation, ensuring stability of this unstable fatty acid (Whelan and Rust 2006).

Trends in meat, dairy and fish consumption

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO 2010), statistics based on food balance sheet methodology have shown worldwide a relatively stable apparent beef consumption in the last four decades, averaging 9 kg/capita/year, or the equivalent 26 g/capita/day. Argentina has shown the highest intake (153) followed by Australia (117), USA (115), Uruguay (104), Brazil (98) and Canada (91) (values correspond to g/capita/day). Bangladesh, Congo, Ghana, Liberia and the Democratic Republic of Korea showed an apparent consumption lower than 5 g/capita/day.

Global dairy production and availability (total and per capita) have increased since 1980, especially in developing countries (Wang and Li 2008). Average whole milk apparent consumption in 2005 was 130 g/capita/day ranging from less than 10 g/capita/day in Congo, Ghana and Philippines to more than 300 g/capita/day in UK, USA, Romania and Albania. Like milk, the levels of butter, cream and cheese production and consumption showed large between-region and between-country differences (FAO 2010).

Apparent fish and fishery product consumption has shown a continuous increase from 9.9 kg/capita/year in 1960s to 16.4 kg/capita/year in 2005, depending on continent, region, country and per capita income (Laurenti 2007). Thus, Maldives, Iceland, Japan, Portugal, Korea and Norway were the top five consumers in 2005 with a fish and seafood supply quantity varying from 143 to 493 g/capita/day. Other countries such as Bolivia, Ethiopia, Nepal, Pakistan and Sudan showed an apparent consumption lower than 5 g/capita/day (FAO 2010).

The analysis of dietary intake carried out as a part of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) showed substantial geographic variation in total fish intake, fish sub-groups and the number of fish types consumed. A six- to seven-fold variation in total fish consumption was found both in women and in men between the lowest intake in Germany and the highest one in Spain (Welch et al. 2002).

Breast and prostate cancer incidence

The Second Expert Report of the World Cancer Research Fund and the American Institute for Cancer Research showed in 2007 that age-adjusted rates of breast cancer in women were increasing in most

countries, particularly in areas where the incidence had previously been low, such as Japan, China and southern and eastern Europe. The highest incidence rates were in North America, Australia and northern Europe, and the lowest in Africa and Asia. With regard to prostate cancer, the highest age-adjusted incidence rates were in North America, parts of the Caribbean and Oceania, and lowest rates in Melanesia and much of Asia (World Cancer Research Fund 2007).

CLA, EPA, DHA and mammary and prostate cancer

Several *in vitro* assays showed that CLA isomers may be protective in the tumorigenesis process through cell cycle control, anti-oestrogenic, antiproliferative, proapoptotic and antiangiogenic actions. Table I summarizes the studies related to breast and prostate cancer cells exposed to CLA.

The current *in vitro* evidence indicate that long-chain *n*-3 fatty acids inhibit cancer promotion and progression. Several molecular mechanisms have been proposed as follows: the suppression of arachidonic acid-derived eicosanoid biosynthesis (Grimm et al. 2002); the influence on transcription factor activity (Murata et al. 2001; Chambrier et al. 2002; Novak et al. 2003), gene expression (Collett et al. 2001) and signal transduction pathways (Jeyarajah et al. 1999; Duncan et al. 2005) and the alteration of oestrogen metabolism (Yang et al. 2004). Recent data also suggest the potent antiangiogenic effects of EPA and DHA (Spencer et al. 2009).

A number of studies have demonstrated the proapoptotic action of EPA and DHA on human breast cancer cells as well as of DHA on prostate cancer cells (Serini et al. 2009).

Animal studies provide convincing evidence of a negative and positive relationship between *n*-3- and *n*-6-rich PUFA diets, respectively, and breast and prostate tumours. In addition, long-chain *n*-3 PUFA supplementation has shown to reduce the mammary tumour growth in rats (Robinson et al. 2001; Yuri et al. 2003). On the other hand, *n*-6 CLA has also demonstrated many beneficial effects such as significant decrease in mutagenesis, tumour formation and mammary tumour metastasis (Ip et al. 1999, 2001; Banni et al. 1999; Corl et al. 2003; Hubbard et al. 2003; Lavillonniere et al. 2003; Yang et al. 2003). CLA precursor vaccenic acid (VA, *trans*-11 18:1) showed to increase the CLA tissue content through its conversion via Delta9-desaturase (Lock et al. 2004).

Table II presents the latest experimental results on CLA, EPA and DHA exposure related to breast and prostate cancer. No studies involving prostate cancer could be found with relation to EPA and DHA and only one experiment refers to the absence of prostate tumour cell inhibition with relation to CLA (Cohen et al. 2003).

Table III. Case-control studies on the association between either CLA, EPA or DHA intake or their food sources and breast or prostate cancer risk.

Reference	Study	Tumour	Country	Subjects		Exposure	Results*
				Cases (n)	Controls (n)		
Aro et al. (2000)	Ca/CI	Breast	Finland	195	208	Dietary CLA Serum CLA Serum VA CLA in breast adipose tissue	--A in postmenopausal women --A in postmenopausal women --A in postmenopausal women +A
Chajès et al. (2002)	Ca/CI	Breast	France	241	88	EPA and DHA EPA and DHA	NA NA
Nkondjock et al. (2003)	Ca/CI	Breast	Canada	414	429	Long-chain n-3/n-6 ratio	-A with increasing ratio
Goodstine et al. (2003)	Ca/CI	Breast	USA	565	554	Red meat	+A, particularly with deep-fried red meat to well done
Dai et al. (2002)	Ca/CI	Breast	China	1459	1556	Milk Total fish and marine fish Freshwater fish Total meat	NA NA +A +A with breast cancer, especially for postmenopausal
Hu et al. (2008)	Ca/CI	Breast prostate	Canada	5039	19,732	Red meat Processed meat	NA with prostate cancer NA with breast or prostate cancer NA with breast cancer +A with prostate cancer
Terry et al. (2002)	Ca/CI	Breast	Sweden	2085	2000	Total fish	NA with breast or prostate cancer
Hirose et al. (2003)	Ca/CI	Breast	Japan	2385	19,013	Total, fatty and lean fish Beef Milk	NA NA -A, especially among postmenopausal women
Shannon et al. (2003)	Ca/CI	Breast	USA	441	370	Fish Red meat High-fat meat Low-fat meat Dairy products High-fat dairy Low-fat dairy Fish Fatty fish Lean fish EPA and DHA	--A for cooked/raw fish (<i>sashimi</i>) consumption among postmenopausal women ++A +A -A -A NA -A --A NA --A in postmenopausal women
Kim et al. (2009)	Ca/CI	Breast	Korea	358	360	Serum EPA and DHA Erythrocyte EPA and DHA Erythrocyte EPA and DHA Dietary and erythrocyte EPA and DHA	NA NA NA --A
Saadatian-Elahi et al. (2002)	Nested Ca/CI	Breast	USA	197	197	Erythrocyte EPA	--A
Wirfält et al. (2004)	Nested Ca/CI	Breast	Sweden	237	673	Erythrocyte EPA	--A
Pala et al. (2001)	Nested Ca/CI	Breast	Italy	71	141	Erythrocyte EPA	NA
Kuriki et al. (2007)	Nested Ca/CI	Breast	Japan	103	309	Erythrocyte EPA	--A
Shannon et al. (2007)	Ca/CI	Breast	China	322	1030	Erythrocyte EPA Erythrocyte DHA	--A -A

TABLE III – continued

Reference	Study	Tumour	Country	Subjects		Exposure	Results*
				Cases (n)	Controls (n)		
Bagga et al. (2002)	Ca/CI	Breast	USA	73	74	EPA and DHA in breast adipose tissue Long-chain n-3/n-6 ratio DHA in breast adipose tissue	NA -A with increasing ratio -- -A
Maillard et al. (2002)	Ca/CI	Breast	France	241	88	Long-chain n-3/n-6 ratio Total fish	-- -A with increasing ratio -- -A
Sonoda et al. (2004)	Ca/CI	Prostate	Japan	140	140	Milk and dairy products EPA and DHA (food + suppl.)	NA NA
Kristal et al. (2002)	Ca/CI	Prostate	USA	605	592	Dietary EPA and DHA	NA
Männistö et al. (2003)	Nested Ca/CI	Prostate	Finland	198	198	Serum EPA and DHA	NA
Chavarro et al. (2007)	Nested Ca/CI	Prostate	USA	476	476	Blood EPA Blood DHA	-- -A -A
Fradet et al. (2009)	Ca/CI	Prostate	USA	466	478	EPA DHA Dark fish Tuna	-- -A -- -A -- -A -A
Hedelin et al. (2007)	Ca/CI	Prostate	Sweden	1499	1130	Shellfish Fatty fish EPA + DHA	-- -A -- -A -- -A
Shannon et al. (2010)	Ca/CI	Prostate	USA	127	183	EPA + DHA/n-6 ratio Erythrocyte EPA Erythrocyte DHA	-- -A with increasing ratio NA NA

Notes: Ca/CI, case-control; Nested Ca/CI, nested case-control; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; VA, vaccenic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; * Association level is presented according to the risk classification given by the World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research 1997: + + + A, strong positive association (OR > 2, significant); + + A, moderate positive association (OR 1.5–2, significant); + A, weak positive association (OR < 1.5, significant); OR 1.5–2, not significant; -- -A, strong negative association (OR < 0.5, significant); - - A, moderate negative association (OR 0.5–0.75, significant); OR < 0.5, not significant; -A, weak negative association (OR > 0.75, significant); OR 0.5–0.75, not significant; NA, no association.

Table IV. Cohort studies on the association between either CLA, EPA or DHA intake or their food sources and breast or prostate cancer risk.

Reference	Tumour	Country	Follow-up period (years)	Subjects		Exposure	Results*
				Cases (n)	Cohort (n)		
Voorrips et al. (2002)	Breast	The Netherlands	6.3	941	62,573	Total CLA and VA EPA and DHA	+ A NA NA
McCann et al. (2004)	Breast	USA	5	1122	2036	Milk, butter and other dairy products Meat and beef Total CLA	NA NA in premenopausal or postmenopausal women
Larsson et al. (2009)	Breast	Sweden	17.4	2952	61,433	<i>Cis-9,trans-11</i> CLA Total CLA	- A in premenopausal ER-tumours NA in overall or ER/PR-defined breast cancer
Park et al. (2007)	Prostate	USA	8	4404	82,483	Beef Fish and shellfish EPA and DHA	NA NA NA
Stripp et al. (2003)	Breast	Denmark	3.6	424	23,693	Total fish Fatty and lean fish	+ A in ER + tumours [†] NA [†]
Engeset et al. (2006)	Breast	Europe	6.4	4776	310,671	Total, fatty and lean fish	NA
Holmes et al. (2003)	Breast	North America	18	4107	88,647	Total fish	NA in premenopausal or postmenopausal women
Missmer et al. (2002)	Breast	USA and Western Europe	Up to 15	7379	351,041	Total fish, seafood and shellfish Dairy products and red meat	NA NA
Cho et al. (2003)	Breast	North America	8	714	90,655	Total fat Long-chain <i>n-3</i> fatty acids Total fish Red meat Dairy products Total fish	NA NA NA NA + A with high-fat dairy products - A, especially in advanced stages
Gago-Dominguez et al. (2003)	Breast	China	5.3	314	35,298	Long-chain <i>n-3</i> fatty acids Fish fat Long-chain <i>n-3</i> fatty acids Long-chain <i>n-3</i> fatty acids Fish oil Total marine FA in adipose tissue	- - A - - A - - A NA - - A [‡] NA [‡]
Wakai et al. (2005)	Breast	Japan	7.6	129	26,291	Adipose tissue EPA Adipose tissue DHA	NA [‡] NA [‡]
Thiebaut et al. (2009)	Breast	France	8	1650	56,007	Red and processed meat fat	NA [‡]
Brasky et al. (2010)	Breast	USA	6	880	35,016	Dairy products fat	NA [‡]
Wirt et al. (2009)	Breast	Denmark	4.8	463	1083	Fish and shellfish fat Dietary EPA Dietary DHA	NA [‡] NA + A
Crowe et al. (2008)	Prostate	Europe	8.7	2727	142,520		
Wallström et al. (2007)	Prostate	Sweden	11	817	10,564		

TABLE IV – continued

Reference	Tumour	Country	Follow-up period (years)	Subjects		Exposure	Results*
				Cases (n)	Cohort (n)		
Leitzmann et al. (2004)	Prostate	USA	14	2965	47,866	EPA/DHA supplements Dietary EPA Dietary DHA	NA -A NA

Notes: CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; VA, vaccenic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; FA, fatty acids; * Association level is presented according to the risk classification given by the World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research 1997: ++ + A, strong positive association (RR > 2, significant); + + A, moderate positive association (RR 1.5–2, significant); RR > 2, not significant; + A, weak positive association (RR < 1.5, significant); RR 1.5–2, not significant; – – – A, strong negative association (RR < 0.5, significant); – – A, moderate negative association (RR 0.5–0.75, significant); RR < 0.5, not significant; – A, weak negative association (RR > 0.75, significant); RR 0.5–0.75, not significant; NA, no association.; † Results presented as IRR; ‡ Results presented as HR.

A few epidemiological studies have investigated the relationship between CLA intake or tissue concentrations and the tumour incidence. Unfortunately, those studies have yielded far less conclusive results than the animal experiments.

The results of epidemiological studies referred to CLA, EPA and DHA intake and their food sources with relation to the risk of breast and prostate cancer are shown in Tables III and IV.

Discussion

Vegetable fats have been believed to benefit the human health, whereas animal fats were thought to be detrimental, particularly with regard to CVD promotion and cancer development.

The relationship between the dietary fat intake and cancer has been somewhat controversial. However, the analysis of specific food components such as different fatty acids has shown that not all fats of animal origin are disease promoters. For instance, fish and seafood contain long-chain *n*-3 EPA and DHA which appear to be beneficial to the prevention of certain types of cancer such as colon, liver, breast, prostate and lung (Manna et al. 2007). Those fatty acids must be incorporated through the diet or supplements because human endogenous synthesis of these is possible but inefficient (Lucas et al. 2010).

EPA and DHA as well as fish and particularly the fatty fish intake have shown an important inverse relation to breast cancer (Gago-Dominguez et al. 2003; Shannon et al. 2003; Wakai et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2009; Brasky et al. 2010). Coincidentally, a significant negative association was found between the EPA and DHA content in whole blood, erythrocyte, serum or breast adipose tissue and the mammary cancer (Maillard et al. 2002; Kuriki et al. 2007; Shannon et al. 2007). However, a large number of studies have found no association (Pala et al. 2001; Bagga et al. 2002; Dai et al. 2002; Missmer et al. 2002; Saadatian-Elahi et al. 2002; Terry et al. 2002; Voorrips et al. 2002; Cho et al. 2003; Goodstine et al. 2003; Holmes et al. 2003; Nkondjock et al. 2003; Stripp et al. 2003; Wirfält et al. 2004; Engeset et al. 2006; Park et al. 2007; Crowe et al. 2008; Hu et al. 2008; Thiébaud et al. 2009; Witt et al. 2009).

Some authors revealed no association between long-chain *n*-3 fatty acids or fish consumption and the prostate cancer risk (Kristal et al. 2002; Männistö et al. 2003; Hu et al. 2008), whereas Sonoda et al. (2004), Fradet et al. (2009) and Hedelin et al. (2007) observed a moderate-to-strong negative association. EPA and DHA content in blood, but not in serum or erythrocyte were inversely related to prostate cancer (Männistö et al. 2003; Chavarro et al. 2007; Shannon et al. 2010).

CLA showed to be a potent anticarcinogenic factor both in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies (Banni et al. 1999; Ip et al. 1999, 2000, 2001; Palombo et al. 2002; Corl

et al. 2003; Hubbard et al. 2003; Kemp et al. 2003; Lavillonniere et al. 2003; Yang et al. 2003; Ochoa et al. 2004; Tanmahasamut et al. 2004; De la Torre et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2005; Song et al. 2006). Nevertheless, a limited number of epidemiological studies are not conclusive regarding the association between dietary CLA or its food sources and the breast or prostate cancer (Aro et al. 2000; Voorrips et al. 2002; McCann et al. 2004; Park et al. 2007). Thus, Aro et al. (2000) found a decreased breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women in relation to dietary and serum CLA. Prospective cohort studies carried out by Voorrips et al. (2002), Park et al. (2007) and McCann et al. (2004) showed either a weak positive or no association. Several factors may be responsible for those findings. One limitation of the epidemiological versus the experimental studies could be the CLA exposure level. Although a CLA daily consumption of 0.8–3 g has been thought to be protective to cancer, it derives from extrapolating the experimental rat model results to humans (Martins et al. 2007). CLA usual consumption in humans may be under those levels (Ip et al. 1994; McCann et al. 2004; Martins et al. 2007) and the amount of CLA used in animals is attainable just by supplements (Kelley et al. 2007). In addition, the inappropriate dietary assessment instruments frequently used in epidemiological studies and the incomplete dietary CLA databases could contribute to underestimating the CLA consumption (Ritzenthaler et al. 2001; Martins et al. 2007).

Concerning CLA source food intake, low-fat meat, milk and dairy products showed weak to moderate negative relation to breast cancer (Hirose et al. 2003; Shannon et al. 2003). In contrast, no association between the same products and prostate cancer risk has been reported (Sonoda et al. 2004; Hu et al. 2008). High red meat intake has been associated with an elevated risk of breast cancer in some epidemiological studies. It is important to remark that most of them did not consider its cooking methods, which may add mammary carcinogens such as heterocyclic amines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to meat when cooked at high temperature (Dai et al. 2002; McAfee et al. 2010). In addition, many studies did not discriminate the intake of meat or fish with regard to their fatty content, considering that CLA is contained mainly in low-fat meat and EPA and DHA in fatty marine fish.

The diet complexity and the potential interactions among its different components have recently switched the focus from studies on individual foods or nutrients to those considering the dietary patterns. Although few studies have revealed consistent associations between the dietary patterns and cancer risk, they represent an overall dietary picture that appears as more realistic (Edefonti et al. 2009).

The role of dietary fatty acids on breast and prostate cancer is still controversial. Up to now and according to most human trials, only EPA and DHA seem to

have a cancer protective effect. On the other hand, CLA has been less investigated in humans with respect to the other two fatty acids, and the results do not correlate with those obtained from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies.

In spite of the numerous papers published in the last 10 years, many questions still remain: could a healthy dietary pattern rather than specific food consumption be the key for breast and prostate cancer prevention? Does the fatty acid natural form have the same effect as its synthetic/concentrated presentation and could that be the reason for the differences observed between the experimental and epidemiological results? Which are the specific recommendations regarding CLA, EPA and DHA intake to prevent cancer? Does the genotype have relevant influence on the fatty acid metabolism and on their cancer protective effects? Which is the effect of the lifetime CLA, EPA and DHA intake?

More comprehensive data are required to have a better knowledge of the analysed association. In addition, epidemiologists should find a common and reliable way of estimating the fatty acid intake such as the employment of nutrient biomarkers.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the following funding institutions: Secretaría de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (N°069/08) and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Province of Córdoba, Argentina (N°121/08).

Declaration of interest: The authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript and have approved its final version. The authors report no declarations of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

References

- Alfaia CMM, Alves SP, Lopes AF, Fernandes MJE, Costa ASH, Fontes CMGA, et al. 2010. Effect of cooking methods on fatty acids, conjugated isomers of linoleic acid and nutritional quality of beef intramuscular fat. *Meat Sci* 84:769–777.
- Aro A, Männistö S, Salminen I, Ovaskainen ML, Kataja V, Uusitupa M. 2000. Inverse association between dietary and serum conjugated linoleic acid and risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. *Nutr Cancer* 38:151–157.
- Bagga D, Anders KH, Wang HJ, Glaspy JA. 2002. Long chain *n*-3-to-*n*-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid ratios in breast adipose tissue from women with and without breast cancer. *Nutr Cancer* 42: 180–185.
- Banni S, Angioni E, Casu V, Melis MP, Carta G, Corongiu FP, et al. 1999. Decrease in linoleic acid metabolites as a potential mechanism in cancer risk reduction by conjugated linoleic acid. *Carcinogenesis* 20:1019–1024.
- Berquin IM, Edwards IJ, Chen YQ. 2008. Multi-targeted therapy of cancer by omega-3 fatty acids. *Cancer Lett* 269:363–377.
- Bourre JM. 2005. Where to find omega-3 fatty acids and how feeding animals with diet enriched in omega-3 fatty acids to increase nutritional value of derived products for human: what is actually useful? *J Nutr Health Aging* 9:232–242.

- Brasky TM, Lampe JW, Potter JD, Patterson RD, White E. 2010. Specialty supplements and breast cancer risk in the vitamins and lifestyle (VITAL) Cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 19:1696–1708.
- Chajès V, Lavillonnière F, Ferrari P, Jourdan ML, Pinault M, Maillard V, et al. 2002. Conjugated linoleic acid content in breast adipose tissue is not associated with the relative risk of breast cancer in a population of French patients. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11:672–673.
- Chambrier C, Bastard JP, Rieusset J, Chevillotte E, Bonnefont-Rousselot D, Therond P, et al. 2002. Eicosapentaenoic acid induces mRNA expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma. *Obes Res* 10:518–525.
- Chavarro JE, Stampfer MJ, Li H, Campos H, Kurth T, Ma J. 2007. A prospective study of polyunsaturated fatty acid levels in blood and prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 16:1364–1370.
- Cho E, Spiegelman D, Hunter DJ, Chen WY, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC. 2003. Premenopausal fat intake and risk of breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 95:1079–1085.
- Cohen LA, Zhao Z, Pittman B, Scimeca J. 2003. Effect of soy protein isolate and conjugated linoleic acid on the growth of dunning R-3327-AT-1 rat prostate tumors. *Prostate* 54:169–180.
- Collett ED, Davidson LA, Fan YY, Lupton JR, Chapkin RS. 2001. *n*-6 and *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids differentially modulate oncogenic Ras activation in colonocytes. *Am J Physiol* 280:C1066–C1075.
- Corl BA, Barbano DM, Bauman DE, Ip C. 2003. *Cis*-9, *trans*-11 CLA derived endogenously from *trans*-11 18:1 reduces cancer risk in rats. *J Nutr* 133:2893–2900.
- Crowe FL, Key TJ, Appleby PN, Travis RC, Overvad K, Jakobsen MU, Johnsen NF. 2008. Dietary fat intake and risk of prostate cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87:1405–1413.
- Dai Q, Shu XO, Jin F, Gao YT, Ruan ZX, Zheng W. 2002. Consumption of animal foods, cooking methods, and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11:801–808.
- Daley CA, Abbott A, Doyle PS, Nader GA, Larson S. 2010. A review of fatty acid profiles and antioxidant content in grass-fed and grain-fed beef. *Nutr J*. <http://www.nutritionj.com/content/9/1/10>. Last accessed 2 February 2010.
- De la Torre A, Debiton E, Durand D, Chardigny JM, Berdeaux O, Loreau O, et al. 2005. Conjugated linoleic acid isomers and their conjugated derivatives inhibit growth of human cancer cell lines. *Anticancer Res* 25:3943–3949.
- De La Torre A, Gruffat D, Durand D, Micol D, Peyron A, Scislawski V, Bauchart D. 2006. Factors influencing proportion and composition of CLA in beef. *Meat Sci* 73:258–268.
- Dhiman TR, Nam SH, Ure AL. 2005. Factors affecting conjugated linoleic acid content in milk and meat. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 45:463–482.
- Doughman SD, Krupanidhi S, Sanjeevi CB. 2007. Omega-3 fatty acids for nutrition and medicine: considering microalgae oil as a vegetarian source of EPA and DHA. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 3:198–203.
- Duncan RE, El-Sohemy A, Archer MC. 2005. Regulation of HMG-CoA reductase in MCF-7 cells by genistein, EPA, and DHA, alone and in combination with mevastatin. *Cancer Lett* 224:221–228.
- Edefonti V, Randi G, La Vecchia C, Ferraroni M, Decarli A. 2009. Dietary patterns and breast cancer: a review with focus on methodological issues. *Nutr Rev* 67:297–314.
- Engeset D, Alsaker E, Lund E, Welch A, Khaw KT, Clavel-Chapelon F, et al. 2006. Fish consumption and breast cancer risk. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer* 119:175–182.
- Eynard AR, Lopez CB. 2003. Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) versus saturated fats/cholesterol: their proportion in fatty and lean meats may affect the risk of developing colon cancer. *Lipids Health Dis*. <http://www.lipidworld.com/content/2/1/6>. Last accessed 12 January 2010.
- FAO. 2010. Fisheries and aquaculture consumption of fish and fishery products. <http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-consumption/en>. Last accessed 10 March 2010.
- Fradet V, Cheng I, Casey G, Witte JS. 2009. Dietary omega-3 fatty acids, cyclooxygenase-2 genetic variation, and aggressive prostate cancer risk. *Clin Cancer Res* 15:2559–2566.
- Gagliostro GA, Rodriguez A, Pellegrini P, Museo G, Gatti P, Garciarena D. 2007a. Effect of pasteurization on cows milk fatty acid composition. *Rev Arg Prod Anim* 27(Suppl. 1):355.
- Gagliostro GA, Rodriguez A, Pellegrini P, Museo G, Gatti P, Garciarena D. 2007b. Effect of yogurt-making technology on the composition of fatty acids. *Rev Arg Prod Anim* 27(Suppl 1):352.
- Gagliostro GA, Rodriguez A, Pellegrini P, Museo G, Gatti P, Garciarena D. 2007c. Persistency of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in cows spreadable cheese. *Rev Arg Prod Anim* 27(Suppl. 1):351.
- Gago-Dominguez M, Yuan JM, Sun CL, Lee HP, Yu MC. 2003. Opposing effects of dietary *n*-3 and *n*-6 fatty acids on mammary carcinogenesis: The Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Br J Cancer* 89:1686–1692.
- Goodstine SL, Zheng T, Holford TR, Ward BA, Carter D, Owens PH, Mayne ST. 2003. Dietary (*n*-3)/(*n*-6) fatty acid ratio: possible relationship to premenopausal but not postmenopausal breast cancer risk in U.S. women. *J Nutr* 133:1409–1414.
- Grimm H, Mayer K, Maysen P, Eigenbrodt E. 2002. Regulatory potential of *n*-3 fatty acids in immunological and inflammatory processes. *Br J Nutr* 87:S59–S67.
- Hedelin M, Chang ET, Wiklund F, Belloc R, Klint A, Adolfsson J, et al. 2007. Association of frequent consumption of fatty fish with prostate cancer risk is modified by COX-2 polymorphism. *Int J Cancer* 120:398–405.
- Hirose K, Takezaki T, Hamajima N, Miura S, Tajima K. 2003. Dietary factors protective against breast cancer in Japanese premenopausal and postmenopausal women. *Int J Cancer* 107:276–282.
- Holmes MD, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Hankinson SE, Rosner B, Speizer FE, Willett WC. 2003. Meat, fish and egg intake and risk of breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 104:221–227.
- Howe PR, Downing JA, Grenyer BF, Grigoris-Deane EM, Bryden WL. 2002. Tuna fishmeal as a source of DHA for *n*-3 PUFA enrichment of pork, chicken, and eggs. *Lipids* 37:1067–1076.
- Hu J, La Vecchia C, DesMeules M, Negri E, Mery L. 2008. Meat and fish consumption and cancer in Canada. *Nutr Cancer* 60:313–324.
- Hubbard NE, Lim D, Erickson KL. 2003. Effect of separate conjugated linoleic acid isomers on murine mammary tumorigenesis. *Cancer Lett* 190:13–19.
- Ip C, Singh M, Thompson HJ, Scimeca JA. 1994. Conjugated linoleic acid suppresses mammary carcinogenesis and proliferative activity of the mammary gland in the rat. *Cancer Res* 54:1212–1215.
- Ip C, Banni S, Angioni E, Carta G, McGinley J, Thompson HJ, et al. 1999. Conjugated linoleic acid-enriched butter fat alters mammary gland morphogenesis and reduces cancer risk in rats. *J Nutr* 129:2135–2142.
- Ip C, Ip MM, Loftus T, Shoemaker S, Shea-Eaton W. 2000. Induction of apoptosis by conjugated linoleic acid in cultured mammary tumor cells and premalignant lesions of the rat mammary gland. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 9:689–696.
- Ip C, Dong Y, Thompson HJ, Bauman DE, Ip MM. 2001. Control of rat mammary epithelium proliferation by conjugated linoleic acid. *Nutr Cancer* 39:233–238.
- Jeyarajah DR, Kielar M, Penfield J, Lu CY. 1999. Docosahexaenoic acid, a component of fish oil, inhibits nitric oxide production in vitro. *J Surg Res* 83:147–150.
- Kelley NS, Hubbard NE, Erickson KL. 2007. Conjugated linoleic acid isomers and cancer. *J Nutr* 137:2599–2607.

- Kemp MQ, Jeffy BD, Romagnolo DF. 2003. Conjugated linoleic acid inhibits cell proliferation through a p53-dependent mechanism: effects on the expression of G1-restriction points in breast and colon cancer cells. *J Nutr* 133:3670–3677.
- Kim JH, Hubbard NE, Ziboh V, Erickson KL. 2005. Attenuation of breast tumor cell growth by conjugated linoleic acid via inhibition of 5-lipoxygenase activating protein. *Biochimica Biophys Acta* 1736:244–250.
- Kim J, Lim SY, Shin A, Sung MK, Ro J, Kang HS, et al. 2009. Fatty fish and fish omega-3 fatty acid intakes decrease the breast cancer risk: a case-control study. *BMC Cancer*. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/9/216>. Last accessed 10 March 2010.
- Kramer JKG, Parodi PW, Jensen RG, Mossoba MM, Yurawecz MP, Adlof RO. 1998. Rumenic acid: a proposed common name for the major conjugated linoleic acid isomer found in natural products. *Lipids* 33:835.
- Kristal AR, Cohen JH, Qu P, Stanford JL. 2002. Associations of energy, fat, calcium, and vitamin D with prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11:719–725.
- Kuriki K, Hirose K, Wakai K, Matsuo K, Ito H, Suzuki T, et al. 2007. Breast cancer risk and erythrocyte compositions of *n*-3 highly unsaturated fatty acids in Japanese. *Int J Cancer* 121:377–385.
- Larsson SC, Bergkvist L, Wolk A. 2009. Conjugated linoleic acid intake and breast cancer risk in a prospective cohort of Swedish Women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 90:556–560.
- Larsson SC, Kumlin M, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Wolk A. 2004. Dietary long-chain *n*-3 fatty acids for the prevention of cancer: a review of potential mechanisms. *Am J Clin Nutr* 79:935–945.
- Latimori NJ, Kloster AM, García PT, Carduza FJ, Grigioni G, Pensel NA. 2008. Diet and genotype effects on the quality index of beef produced in the Argentine Pampeana region. *Meat Sci* 79:463–469.
- Laurenti G. 2007. 1961–2005 fish and fishery products: world apparent consumption statistics based on food balance sheets. *FAO Fisheries Circular* 821(9):429.
- Lavillonniere F, Chajes V, Martin JC, Sebedio JL, Lhuillery C, Bougnoux P. 2003. Dietary purified *cis*-9,*trans*-11 conjugated linoleic acid isomer has anticarcinogenic properties in chemically induced mammary tumors in rats. *Nutr Cancer* 45:190–194.
- Leitzmann MF, Stampfer MJ, Michaud DS, Augustsson K, Colditz GC, Willett WC, Giovannucci EL. 2004. Dietary intake of *n*-3 and *n*-6 fatty acids and the risk of prostate cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr* 80:204–216.
- Lock AL, Corl BA, Barbano DM, Bauman DE, Ip C. 2004. The anticarcinogenic effect of *trans*-11 18:1 is dependent on its conversion to *cis*-9,*trans*-11 CLA by delta9-desaturase in rats. *J Nutr* 134:2698–2704.
- Lucas M, Asselin G, Plourde M, Cunnane SC, Dewailly E, Dodin S. 2010. *n*-3 Fatty acid intake from marine food products among Quebecers: comparison to worldwide recommendations. *Pub Health Nutr* 13:63–70.
- MacDonald HB. 2000. Conjugated linoleic acid and disease prevention: a review of current knowledge. *J Am Coll Nutr* 19:111S–118S.
- Maillard V, Bougnoux P, Ferrari P, Jourdan ML, Pinault M, Lavillonniere F, et al. 2002. *n*-3 and *n*-6 fatty acids in breast adipose tissue and relative risk of breast cancer in a case-control study in Tours, France. *Int J Cancer* 98:78–83.
- Manna S, Chakraborty T, Damodaran S, Samanta K, Rana B, Chatterjee M. 2007. Protective role of fish oil (Maxepa) on early events of rat mammary carcinogenesis by modulation of DNA-protein crosslinks, cell proliferation and p53 expression. *Cancer Cell Int*. <http://www.cancerci.com/content/7/1/6>. Last accessed 10 March 2010.
- Männistö S, Pietinen P, Virtanen MJ, Salminen I, Albanes D, Giovannucci E, Virtamo J. 2003. Fatty acids and risk of prostate cancer in a nested case-control study in male smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 12:1422–1428.
- Martins S, Lopes PA, Alfaia CM, Ribeiro VS, Guerreiro TV, Fontes CM, et al. 2007. Contents of conjugated linoleic acid isomers in ruminant-derived foods and estimation of their contribution to daily intake in Portugal. *Br J Nutr* 98:1206–1213.
- McAfee AJ, McSorley EM, Cuskelly GJ, Moss BW, Wallace JMW, Bonham MP, Fearon AM. 2010. Red meat consumption: an overview of the risks and benefits. *Meat Sci* 84:1–13.
- McCann SE, Ip C, Ip MM, McGuire MK, Muti P, Edge SB, et al. 2004. Dietary intake of conjugated linoleic acids and risk of premenopausal and postmenopausal breast cancer, Western New York Exposures and Breast Cancer Study (WEB Study). *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 13:1480–1484.
- Missmer SA, Smith-Warner SA, Spiegelman D, Yaun SS, Adami HO, Beeson WL, et al. 2002. Meat and dairy food consumption and breast cancer: a pooled analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 31:78–85.
- Murata M, Kaji H, Iida K, Okimura Y, Chihara K. 2001. Dual action of eicosapentaenoic acid in hepatoma cells: up-regulation of metabolic action of insulin and inhibition of cell proliferation. *J Biol Chem* 276:31422–31428.
- Navarro A, Muñoz SE, Lantieri MJ, Diaz MP, Cristaldo PE, de Fabro SP, Eynard AR. 2004. Meat cooking habits and risk of colorectal cancer in Córdoba, Argentina. *Nutrition* 20:873–877.
- Nkondjock A, Shatenstein B, Ghadirian P. 2003. A case-control study of breast cancer and dietary intake of individual fatty acids and antioxidants in Montreal, Canada. *Breast* 12:128–135.
- Novak TE, Babcock TA, Jho DH, Helton WS, Espat NJ. 2003. NF-kappa B inhibition by omega-3 fatty acids modulates LPS-stimulated macrophage TNF-alpha transcription. *Am J Physiol* 284:L84–L89.
- Ochoa JJ, Farquharson AJ, Grant I, Moffat LE, Heys SD, Wahle KW. 2004. Conjugated linoleic acids (CLAs) decrease prostate cancer cell proliferation: different molecular mechanisms for *cis*-9,*trans*-11 and *trans*-10,*cis*-12 isomers. *Carcinogenesis* 25:1185–1191.
- Pala V, Krogh V, Muti P, Chajes V, Riboli E, Micheli A, et al. 2001. Erythrocyte membrane fatty acids and subsequent breast cancer: a prospective Italian study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 93:1088–1095.
- Palmquist DL, Lock AL, Shingfield KJ, Bauman DE. 2005. Biosynthesis of conjugated linoleic acid in ruminants and humans. *Adv Food Nutr Res* 50:179–217.
- Palombo JD, Ganguly A, Bistrián BR, Menard MP. 2002. The antiproliferative effects of biologically active isomers of conjugated linoleic acid on human colorectal and prostatic cancer cells. *Cancer Lett* 177:163–172.
- Park SY, Murphy SP, Wilkens LR, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN. 2007. Fat and meat intake and prostate cancer risk: the multiethnic cohort study. *Int J Cancer* 121:1339–1345.
- Realini CE, Duckett SK, Brito GW, Dalla Rizza M, De Mattos D. 2004. Effect of pasture vs. concentrate feeding with or without antioxidants on carcass characteristics, fatty acid composition, and quality of Uruguayan beef. *Meat Sci* 66:567–577.
- Ritzenthaler KL, McGuire MK, Falen R, Shultz TD, Dasgupta N, McGuire MA. 2001. Estimation of conjugated linoleic acid intake by written dietary assessment methodologies underestimates actual intake evaluated by food duplicate methodology. *J Nutr* 131:1548–1554.
- Robinson LE, Clandinin MT, Field CJ. 2001. R3230AC rat mammary tumor and dietary long-chain (*n*-3) fatty acids change immune cell composition and function during mitogen activation. *J Nutr* 131:2021–2027.
- Saadatian-Elahi M, Toniolo P, Ferrari P, Goudable J, Akhmedkhanov A, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Riboli E. 2002. Serum fatty acids and risk of breast cancer in a nested case-control study of the New York University Women's Health Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11:1353–1360.
- Sarriés MV, Murray BE, Moloney AP, Troy D, Beriain MJ. 2009. The effect of cooking on the fatty acid composition of longissimus muscle from beef heifers fed rations designed to

- increase the concentration of conjugated linoleic acid in tissue. *Meat Sci* 81:307–312.
- Schmid A, Collomb M, Sieber R, Bee G. 2006. Conjugated linoleic acid in meat and meat products: a review. *Meat Sci* 73:29–41.
- Serini S, Piccioni E, Merendino N, Calviello G. 2009. Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids as inducers of apoptosis: implications for cancer. *Apoptosis* 14:135–152.
- Shannon J, Cook LS, Stanford JL. 2003. Dietary intake and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 14:19–27.
- Shannon J, King IB, Moshofsky R, Lampe JW, Gao DL, Ray RM, Thomas DB. 2007. Erythrocyte fatty acids and breast cancer risk: a case-control study in Shanghai, China. *Am J Clin Nutr* 85:1090–1097.
- Shannon J, O'Malley J, Mori M, Garzotto M, Palma AJ, King IB. 2010. Erythrocyte fatty acids and prostate cancer risk: a comparison of methods. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids*. 83:161–169.
- Song HJ, Sneddon AA, Heys SD, Wahle KW. 2006. Induction of apoptosis and inhibition of NF-kappaB activation in human prostate cancer cells by the *cis*-9,*trans*-11 but not the *trans*-10, *cis*-12 isomer of conjugated linoleic acid. *Prostate* 66:839–846.
- Sonoda T, Nagata Y, Mori M, Miyanaga N, Takashima N, Okumura K, et al. 2004. A case-control study of diet and prostate cancer in Japan: possible protective effect of traditional Japanese diet. *Cancer Sci* 95:238–242.
- Spencer L, Mann C, Metcalfe M, Webb M, Pollard C, Spencer D, et al. 2009. The effect of omega-3 FAs on tumour angiogenesis and their therapeutic potential. *Eur J Cancer* 45:2077–2086.
- Stripp C, Overvad K, Christensen J, Thomsen BL, Olsen A, Møller S, Tjønneland A. 2003. Fish intake is positively associated with breast cancer incidence rate. *J Nutr* 133:3664–3669.
- Tanmahasamut P, Liu JB, Hendry LB, Sidell N. 2004. Conjugated linoleic acid blocks estrogen signaling in human breast cancer cells. *J Nutr* 134:674–680.
- Terry P, Rohan TE, Wolk A, Maehle-Schmidt M, Magnusson C. 2002. Fish consumption and breast cancer risk. *Nutr Cancer* 44:1–6.
- Thiébaud AC, Chajès V, Gerber M, Boutron-Ruault MC, Joulin V, Lenoir G, et al. 2009. Dietary intakes of omega-6 and omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and the risk of breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 124:924–931.
- Voorrips LE, Brants HA, Kardinaal AF, Hiddink GJ, van den Brandt PA, Goldbohm RA. 2002. Intake of conjugated linoleic acid, fat, and other fatty acids in relation to postmenopausal breast cancer: the Netherlands cohort study on diet and cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr* 76:873–882.
- Wakai K, Tamakoshi K, Date C, Fukui M, Suzuki S, Lin Y, et al. 2005. Dietary intakes of fat and fatty acids and risk of breast cancer: a prospective study in Japan. *Cancer Sci* 96:590–599.
- Wallström P, Bjartell A, Gullberg B, Olsson H, Wirfält E. 2007. A prospective study on dietary fat and incidence of prostate cancer (Malmö, Sweden). *Cancer Causes Control* 18:1107–1121.
- Wang Y, Li S. 2008. Worldwide trends in dairy production and consumption and calcium intake: is promoting consumption of dairy products a sustainable solution for inadequate calcium intake? *Food Nutr Bull* 29:172–185.
- Welch AA, Lund E, Amiano P, Dorronsoro M, Brustad M, Kumle M, et al. 2002. Variability of fish consumption within the 10 European countries participating in the European Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. *Pub Health Nutr* 5(6B):1273–1285.
- Whelan J, Rust C. 2006. Innovative dietary sources of *n*-3 fatty acids. *Annu Rev Nutr* 26:75–103.
- Whigham LD, Watras AC, Schoeller DA. 2007. Efficacy of conjugated linoleic acid for reducing fat mass: a meta-analysis in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 85:1203–1211.
- Williams CM, Burdge G. 2006. Long-chain *n*-3 PUFA: Plant v. marine sources. *Proc Nutr Soc* 65:42–50.
- Wirfält E, Vessby B, Mattisson I, Gullberg B, Olsson H, Berglund G. 2004. No relations between breast cancer risk and fatty acids of erythrocyte membranes in postmenopausal women of the Malmö Diet Cancer cohort (Sweden). *Eur J Clin Nutr* 58:761–770.
- Witt PM, Christensen JH, Schmidt EB, Dethlefsen C, Tjønneland A, Overvad K, Ewertz M. 2009. Marine *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in adipose tissue and breast cancer risk: a case-cohort study from Denmark. *Cancer Causes Control* 20:1715–1721.
- World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. 1997. Food, nutrition and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington, DC: AICR. pp. 1–19, 54–56, 317, 373, 401–403.
- World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. 2007. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: A global perspective. Washington DC: AICR.
- Yang H, Holcroft J, Glickman BW, de Boer JG. 2003. Conjugated linoleic acid inhibits mutagenesis by 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-*b*] pyridine in the prostate of Big Blue rats. *Mutagenesis* 18:195–200.
- Yang P, Chan D, Felix E, Cartwright C, Menter DG, Madden T, et al. 2004. Formation and antiproliferative effect of prostaglandin E(3) from eicosapentaenoic acid in human lung cancer cells. *J Lipid Res* 45:1030–1039.
- Yuri T, Danbara N, Tsujita-Kyutoku M, Fukunaga K, Takada H, Inoue Y, et al. 2003. Dietary docosahexaenoic acid suppresses *N*-methyl-*N*-nitrosourea-induced mammary carcinogenesis in rats more effectively than eicosapentaenoic acid. *Nutr Cancer* 45:211–217.